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Abstract. This paper presents the result of a research made on the material of modern Anglo-American loanwords and their semantic transformation in Russian. The analyzed units were classified into four groups in Russian as a recipient language (Literal meaning, Changed meaning, Nominations, Homonyms), which distinguish the typology of loanwords. As the analysis shows, the change in semantics, if any, indicates not only a change of meaning and a change of functional potential, but also gaps in the language-cultural view of the target-language world. Therefore, loanwords may be viewed as fillers of the view, broadening the conceptual and lexical paradigm and the linguistic structure of the recipient language.
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Introduction

Language as a self-developing system [1] is constantly enriched by new lexical units, which can be formed either inside the linguistic system with its structural units (neologisms, nonce words and potential words) or come from other linguistic systems and are accepted by the society and, as a consequence, by the language of specific socio-cultural concepts (loanwords).

Nowadays the issue of language interpenetration and inter-enrichment is a current area of investigation in modern linguistics marked with a range of studies, where attention is drawn to different types of lexis that become loaned. For instance, V.A. Mytyashina analyzes semiotic and functions of English lexical units typical for German automobile companies German and Russian Internet-sites versions. Y.V. Krilov studies barbarians and so called pseudoloan words and their specifics in advertisement Russian language discourse on the material of widely known brands “nominatives” («номинативов» [2: 84]).
Classifications

In our paper we should mention the work by K.A. Balysheva with the most analyzed typology of lexical loans [3]. The classification shown in the study presents the sequence of stages and their assimilation with the recipient language. The words assimilated in the first stage are used to name loan concepts. Here the law of speech resource economy works: to name a new concept or an object we use the most concrete and precise expression (“billboard, dress-code, casting, reality show, reception, talk-show, fast-food” etc. - «билборд, дресс-код, кастинг, реалити-шоу, ресепшн, ток-шоу, фаст-фуд» [Ibid.: 69]). The second stage of assimilation by a recipient language differs in terms of a more complicated word-formation structure of loan units, which leads to the word semantics broadening and its emergence in the receptive linguistic structure. The most productive derivation type is suffixation (“brand, VIP, glamour, distribution <…> image making, corporativity, creativity, speechwriting, <…>, monitoring, PR (with the meaning “to promote”), to promote” - «брэндовый, виповский (ВИПовский), гламурный, дистрибьюторский <…> имиджмейкерство, корпоративность, креативность, спичрайтерство, <…> мониторить, мониторить, промоутировать» [Ibid.: 68-69]). The third assimilation stage is characterized by the development of the connotative field and stylistic variation of Anglo-Americanisms in the Russian language, as far as the morphological structure of these words absorbs the suffixes of subjective evaluation («пиарить, пиариться, пиарный, пиаровец, пиарщик» [Ibid.: 71]). As we can see, many studies are devoted to Anglicisms in the modern lexis of different levels. Among the reasons behind such lexical interpenetration the most obvious one is globalization, which is marked in a range of works and can be seen in the following citations: “nominative trend is determined by innovative processes in globalization” [4: 176], “In the context of globalization and broadening language contacts at the turn of the 19-20th centuries the issue of loan words becomes topical” [3: 68].

All the cited authors take into consideration the functional and semantic aspects of the loanwords, however each suggests his/her own classification of Anglicisms in the Russian language. This demonstrates that the system’s lexical loanwords and linguistic structures are open and dynamic: each vocabulary subgroup has its own typical traits that do not have a common basis. Consequently, the typologies mentioned do not limit the broadening of further possible paradigms with new material.

Methodology

Our study focuses on the change of semantics in lexical units in the Russian language loaned from the English language and used by modern
Russian youth in speech. The research material includes 40 Anglicisms and Anglo-Americanisms from two resources: 1. The work of Russian scholar O.V. Symtseva [5]; 2. Personal observations of the English loanwords used in the modern Russian language.

Despite the above statements about the activity of Anglicisms in linguistic systems which are foreign to the English language, the issue of the term “Anglicism” is still open to some extent. What can we call an Anglicism? Is it a whole loanword or a word formed with the use of originally language-recipient units but on the basis of a loan root morpheme? A Russian scholar of the Siberian linguistic school A.I. Diakov suggests the following definition for the discussed term: this is “all language units taken from the English language structure [6: 74] which are divided into such subgroups as “transliteration, transcription, calque, transformation, transplantation and combination” [Ibid.: 73]. The answer to the question stated in the title of this paper is the suffix added by the word to its structure in the recipient language. If the morpheme is calqued, this should be considered an Anglicism. If the suffix is native for the recipient language, this is a derivation resulting in a derived word with an English root.

In this study we propose our own classification. As already mentioned, this paper demonstrates research on the lexical borrowings most widely used among youth in the Russian language and the borrowings’ semantic changes.

To analyze the semantics of the lexical units we used the English and Russian Internet resources: Dictionary.com [7], Oxford Learner’s Dictionary [8], Cambridge Dictionaries Online [9] and Academic Dictionaries and Encyclopedias [10].

Results

The chosen words and collocations may be allocated to four groups according to their semantics when used in the Russian language:

Literal meaning (Table 1). The words whose definitions in Russian (R.) coincide with all of the English ones: boy-friend («бойфренд» = to R. «парень»), to copy paste (in R. it is a noun «копипаст» which can form a verb by adding a inflection -ить «копипастить»), cupcake («капкейк» = to R. «кекс»), pancake («панкейк» = to R. «блин/оладушек»), discount («дисконт», can form an adjective by adding the suffix -ный «дисконтный», = to R. «скидка»), sale («сэйл» = to R. «скидка»), ok (in R. the letters are the same, so it is difficult to say whether we use the Latin or Cyrillic alphabet – «ок/ОК»), online («онлайн» = to R. «на связи»), price list («прайс-лист» = to R. «цены на товар/услуги»), promoter («промоутер» = to R. «рекламный агент»), provider («провайдер» = to R. «оператор связи»), VIP (frequently used as «VIP-персона»), weekend («уикенд» = to R. «выходные/отдых»), baby
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(«бэйби» = to R. «ребёнок» или «детка» in the meaning the Russian youth use it), bike («байк» = to R. «мотоцикл»), chart («чарт» = to R. «список песен»), cookie («куки» = to R. «печенье»), e-mail («и-мэйл/мэйл» = to R. «почта/электронная почта»), fashion («фэшн» = to R. « moda»), login («логин»), «залогиниться» = to R. «имя/имя пользователя», «зарегистрироваться/войти (в систему/в личный кабинет)»), manager («менеджер» = to R. «управляющий/заведующий/руководитель (отдела)»), print («принт» = to R. «рисунок»), shopping («шоппинг» = to R. «поход по магазинам»), style («стайл» = to R. « стиль»), tutor («тьютор» = to R. «репетитор/классный руководитель»). As we can see, some of these lexical units have Russian equivalents and some of them can be descriptively translated into the Russian language.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original unit</th>
<th>Original meaning</th>
<th>Russian spelling and transliteration</th>
<th>Russian meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copy paste</td>
<td>The system supported by most document editing applications and most operating systems that allows you to select a part of the document and then save it in a temporary buffer</td>
<td>Копипаст - kopipast</td>
<td>To copy some text from a computer document and to paste it into another one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupcake</td>
<td>A small cake, baked in a paper container shaped like a cup and often with icing on top</td>
<td>Капкейк - kapkeik</td>
<td>Equal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original unit</th>
<th>Original meaning</th>
<th>Russian spelling and transliteration</th>
<th>Russian meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hot-dog</td>
<td>1) a hot sausage served in a long bread roll; 2) a person, who performs clever or dangerous tricks while skiing, snowboarding or surfing.</td>
<td>Хот-дог - khot dog</td>
<td>Literal to 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face-control</td>
<td>From “feis kontrol”, a Russian klub colloquialism of the English words “face control”. Your “face” is your level of wealth, beauty, power, social standing, and overall desirability [11]</td>
<td>Фейс контроль - feys kontrol’</td>
<td>Original meaning appeared in Russian but with the use of English phrase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changed meaning (Table 2). The words whose definitions in Russian partially coincide with all of the English ones. Here there could be distinguished two subgroups:

(a) Words with more narrow semantics which either can be substituted with Russian lexical units or earlier assimilated borrowings which are not realized as borrowings by native speakers in everyday speech and are commonly understood: goalkeeper («голкипер» = to R.
«вратарь»), *fast food* («фастфуд» = to R. «нездоровая/вредная еда»), *hamburger* («гамбургер» = to R. «бутерброд»), *hot dog* («хот дог» = to R. «сосиска в тесте»).

(b) Words adopted for use in some particular context in Russian by associations with their original meanings: *face-control* (door security in night clubs), *like* (a social network liking of someone’s post), *party* (an adult party with alcohol), *performance* (a type of a modern art when an actor or a group of actors perform a piece of art), *public* (an Internet page, usually in social nets, to publish news and pictures which are not necessarily united with one idea).


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original unit</th>
<th>Original meaning</th>
<th>Russian spelling and transliteration</th>
<th>Russian meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coffee house</td>
<td>1) a restaurant serving coffee, etc., especially one of a type popular in Britain in the 18th century or one in a city in Central Europe; 2) a restaurant serving coffee, etc. where people go to listen to music, poetry, etc.</td>
<td>Кофе хаус - kofe khaus</td>
<td>A proper noun for a cafe Coffee House [14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>A country in northern Asia and eastern Europe</td>
<td>Раша - Rasha</td>
<td>A word from TV show name called Nasha Rasha [15]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original unit</th>
<th>Original meaning</th>
<th>Russian spelling and transliteration</th>
<th>Russian meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Look</td>
<td>1) the act of looking; 2) a visual search or examination; 3) the way in which a person or thing appears to the eye or to the mind; aspect; 4) an expressive glance; 5) looks: a) general aspect; appearance; b) attractive, pleasing appearance</td>
<td>Лук - lyk</td>
<td>Equal to 5. Bow. Onion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boss</td>
<td>1) a person who is in charge of other people at work and tells them what to do; 2) (informal) a person who is in charge of a large organization</td>
<td>Босс - boss</td>
<td>Equal to 1 and 2. Chef (office jargon). Criminal lord (prison jargon)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Homonyms (Table 4). Words with homonyms are lexical units, which have homophones in Russian and can cause misunderstanding. Such words
are usually used by youth and need to be explained or clarified for elder 
generation: *look* (лук - 1. youth slang, meaning appearance, clothing and 
hair style; 2. singular for Russian *onion*; 3 singular for Russian *bow*), *boss* 
(1. office jargon, meaning the head of a company or men of weight; 2. prison 
jargon of Soviet Union, meaning that a criminal was sentenced by Soviet 

**Conclusion**

As we can see, most of the analyzed words are placed in the first 
group with Literal meaning, which signifies that a recipient language and 
culture accept not only a word but also the concept despite the purpose 
linguistic system has original equivalents or equivalent phrases for the 
loaned lexical constructions.

Some of the grouped words can be allocated into two or more 
subgroups simultaneously. Some words or phrases borrowed from English 
substitute earlier borrowed lexical units and collocations to make their oral 
or written expression shorter and more precise semantically. For example, 
“promoter” substitutes «рекламный агент» which consists of the French 
words “réclame” and Latin “agens”. However, loaned words in Russian, as 
in any other recipient language, cannot be divided into their constituents. In 
a recipient language, they perform a single unit semantically and structurally.

To sum up, loans appear in a language to fulfill conceptual lacunas in 
the linguistic picture of the recipient language speakers and enrich both their 
verbal and material culture.
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