

Original article

UDC 517.55

MSC: 32A10, 32A20, 32A25, 32A26

doi: 10.17223/19988621/94/3

## Bishop's formula for a matrix polyhedron with a non-piecewise smooth boundary

**Erkin M. Makhkamov<sup>1</sup>, Jurabek T. Bozorov<sup>2</sup>**<sup>1</sup> *Non-governmental Organization of Higher Education Perfect University, Tashkent*<sup>1</sup> *National University of Uzbekistan, Uzbekistan, Tashkent*<sup>2</sup> *Termez State University, Uzbekistan, Termez*<sup>1</sup> *erkin\_makhkamov83@mail.ru*<sup>2</sup> *jurabek.bozorov.89@mail.ru*

**Abstract.** In this work, a matrix polyhedral domain is defined using a matrix ball. In this matrix polyhedral domain, an analogue of Bishop's formula for meromorphic functions of a special form is obtained.

**Keywords:** holomorphic functions and mappings, matrix polyhedral set, matrix polyhedral domain, generalized matrix ball, meromorphic function, Bishop's integral formula

**For citation:** Makhkamov, E.M., Bozorov, J.T. (2025) Bishop's formula for a matrix polyhedron with a non-piecewise smooth boundary. *Vestnik Tomskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta. Matematika i mekhanika – Tomsk State University Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics*. 94. pp. 33–39. doi: 10.17223/19988621/94/3

Научная статья

## Формула Бишоп для матричного полиэдра с не кусочно гладкой границей

**Эркин Мусурманович Махкамов<sup>1</sup>,  
Жўрабек Тоғаймуротович Бозоров<sup>2</sup>**<sup>1</sup> *Негосударственная организация высшего образования Perfect University,  
Узбекистан, Ташкент*<sup>1</sup> *Национальный университет Узбекистана, Узбекистан, Ташкент*<sup>2</sup> *Термезский государственный университет, Узбекистан, Термез*<sup>1</sup> *erkin\_makhkamov83@mail.ru*<sup>2</sup> *jurabek.bozorov.89@mail.ru*

**Аннотация.** В теории функций многих комплексных переменных интегральные формулы занимают важное место в теории голоморфных и мероморфных функций специального вида. При этом задачи получения новых интегральных формул с помощью локальных вычетов, разложения в ряды голоморфных и мероморфных функций специального типа с помощью интегральных формул считаются целевыми научными исследованиями. В данной работе определена матричная полиэдрическая область с помощью матричного шара. В этой матричной полиэдрической области получен аналог формулы Бишоп для мероморфных функции специального вида.

**Ключевые слова:** голоморфные функции и отражения, матричное полиэдрическое множество, матричная полиэдрическая область, обобщенный матричный шар, мероморфная функция, интегральная формула Бишопа

**Для цитирования:** Makhkamov E.M., Bozorov J.T. Bishop's formula for a matrix polyhedron with a non-pieceswise smooth boundary // Вестник Томского государственного университета. Математика и механика. 2025. № 94. С. 33–39. doi: 10.17223/19988621/94/3

## Introduction. Formulation of the problem

In complex analysis of multivariables, integral formulas have been studied by many authors. These results are presented in monographs [1–3] by A.K. Tsikh. A.K. Tsikh proved the Weyl and Bishop integral formulas in a special analytical polyhedron using local residues of multivariables [4]. In the polyhedral domain, A. Weil [5] studied integral formulas with a holomorphic kernel. In the [6–11], a matrix analogue of the integral formula of Cauchy–Weil, Bishop and the Carleman formula was studied.

Recall that an analytic polyhedron is defined by a family of functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ ,  $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  (or by a mapping  $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ ), as

$$\Pi_r = \{z \in G : |f_1(z)| < r_1, |f_2(z)| < r_2, \dots, |f_m(z)| < r_m\},$$

if it is relatively compact in  $G$  (i.e.  $\bar{\Pi}_r \subset G$ ). If  $m$  is equal to  $n$ , which is the dimension of space  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , then the analytic polyhedron  $\Pi_r$  is called special.

Let  $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) : D \rightarrow G$  – holomorphic mapping of domains  $D \subset \mathbb{C}_z^n, G \subset \mathbb{C}_w^n$ .

Consider meromorphic functions of the form  $\frac{h(Z)}{J_f(Z)}$ , where  $h(z)$  is a holomorphic function, and  $J_f(z)$  is the Jacobian of the mapping  $f : D \rightarrow G$  which is of finite type. In [4. P. 43], A.K. Tsikh obtained Bishop's integral formula for a special analytic polyhedron an analogue of which we obtain for a generalized matrix ball.

**Theorem 1** [4]. *At every point  $z \in \Pi_r$  in which the Jacobian  $J_f$  of the mapping  $f$  is nonzero, the following integral formula for the meromorphic function  $\frac{h}{J}$ ,  $h \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{\Pi}_r)$  holds,*

$$\frac{h}{J} \Omega(z, z) = (2\pi i)^{-n} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{h(\xi) \Omega(z, \xi) d\xi}{f(\xi) - f(z)},$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the skeleton of the polyhedron  $\Pi_r$ , weight function  $\Omega(z, \xi) \neq 0$ , and holomorphic in the neighborhood  $(\Pi_r \times \Pi_r)$ .

## The main part

Let  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n)$  be a vector, whose entries are quadratic matrices  $Z_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , of order  $m$  over the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . It can be assumed that  $Z$  is the element of the space  $\mathbb{C}^n[m \times m] \cong \mathbb{C}^{nm^2}$  [3].

Define matrix "scalar" multiplication for  $Z, W \in \mathbb{C}^n[m \times m]$  as [3]:

$$\langle Z, W \rangle = Z_1 W_1^* + \dots + Z_n W_n^*,$$

where  $W_j^*$  is a matrix, which is conjugate and transpose of  $W$ .

The domain  $B_{m,n} = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}^n[m \times m] : I - \langle Z, Z \rangle > 0\}$ , is called a *matrix ball*, where  $I$  is the identity matrix of order  $m$ .

The *skeleton* of this domain is a manifold of the form:

$$X_{m,n} = \{Z : \langle Z, Z \rangle = I\}.$$

Obviously, the dimension of the skeleton is  $m^2(2n-1)$ .

When  $m = n = 1$ ,  $B_{1,1}$  is the identity disc from  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $X_{1,1}$  is the identity circle.

Let  $D$  be a bounded complete circular convex domain with Shilov boundary  $S$ , which is smooth (of class  $C^1$ ) manifold.

Define the family  $H^1(D)$  of all functions  $f$ , holomorphic in  $D$ , for which

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S |f(r\zeta)| d\mu < +\infty,$$

where  $r\zeta = (r\zeta_1, \dots, r\zeta_n)$  and  $d\mu$  is the normalized Lebesgue measure on a manifold  $S$ , invariant under rotations.

**Theorem 2 [3].** For any function  $f \in H^1(B_{m,n})$ , the following formula holds:

$$f(Z) = \int_{X_{m,n}} \frac{f(W) d\sigma(W)}{\det^{mn}(I^{(m)} - \langle Z, W \rangle)}, \quad Z \in B_{m,n} \quad (1)$$

where  $d\sigma(W)$  is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the skeleton  $X_{m,n}$ .

Take a mapping  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{nm^2}) : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{nm^2}$ , which is holomorphic in some domain  $G \subset \mathbb{C}^{nm^2}$ .

In what follows, the mapping  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{nm^2}) : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{nm^2}$  will be considered in the form

$$f(Z) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} f_{11}^1(Z) & \dots & f_{1m}^1(Z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{m1}^1(Z) & \dots & f_{mm}^1(Z) \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} f_{11}^n(Z) & \dots & f_{1m}^n(Z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{m1}^n(Z) & \dots & f_{mn}^n(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right) : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n[m \times m].$$

**Definition 1.** A matrix polyhedral set defined by a holomorphic mapping  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n[m \times m]$  is the set

$$f^{-1}(B_{m,n}) = \{Z \in G : r^2 I - \langle f(Z), f(Z) \rangle > 0, r > 0\},$$

which is relatively compact in  $G$ , i.e.,  $f^{-1}(B_{m,n}) \Subset G$ .

**Definition 2.** The connected component of a matrix polyhedral set  $f^{-1}(B_{m,n})$  is called a *matrix polyhedron (generalized matrix ball)* which is denoted as  $\Theta_{f,r}$ . The skeleton of the domain  $\Theta_{f,r}$  is defined as

$$\Gamma_{f,r} = \{Z \in G : \langle f(Z), f(Z) \rangle = r^2 I, r > 0\}.$$

Let  $f(Z): D \rightarrow G$  be a holomorphic mapping of domains  $D \subset \mathbb{C}_Z^n [m \times m]$ ,  $G \subset \mathbb{C}_W^n [m \times m]$  and  $H(Z) = \frac{\varphi(Z)}{\psi(Z)}$  meromorphic function in  $D$ .

**Definition 3 [4].** A mapping  $f(Z)$  has a finite type, if for any  $W \in G$  the equation  $f(Z) = W$  has the same finite number of roots (taking into account multiplicities) in domain  $D$ .

**Definition 4 [4].** The trace of a function  $H(Z)$  related to a mapping  $f(Z)$  is the function

$$[\text{Tr } H](W) = \sum_v H(Z^{(v)}(W)), \quad W \in G \setminus f(\psi = 0),$$

where the summation is carried out over all roots (taking into account multiplicities) of the equation  $f(Z) = W$ .

In this work, using formula (1), we obtain the Bishop integral formula in the domain  $\Theta_{f,r}$  for a special function of the form  $\frac{h(Z)}{J_f(Z)}$ , where  $h(Z) \in H^1(\Theta_{f,r})$ ,  $J_f(Z)$  is the Jacobian of the mapping  $f(Z)$ , which has a finite type.

Let  $f: D \rightarrow G$  be a holomorphic mapping of a finite type of the domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}_Z^n [m \times m]$  into  $G \subset \mathbb{C}_W^n [m \times m]$  and  $W^0 \in G$  be an arbitrary point. Consider a domain  $B_{m,n,r}(W^0)$  in  $G$  with the center at the point  $W^0$ :

$$B_{m,n,r}(W^0) = \{W : r^2 I - \langle W - W^0, W - W^0 \rangle > 0\} \in G.$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $H(Z) \in H^1(D)$ . Then for the trace  $[\text{Tr } H](W)$  in the domain  $B_{m,n,r}(W^0)$  the following integral formula holds:

$$[\text{Tr } H](W) = \int_{\Gamma_{f,r}} \frac{H d\sigma(f(Z))}{\det^{mm}(I^{(m)} - \langle f(Z), W \rangle)}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\Gamma_{f,r} = \{Z \in D : \langle f(Z), f(Z) \rangle = r^2 I^{(m)}\}$ .

**Proof.** For simplicity, we prove the theorem when  $W^0 = 0$ .

According to the proposition in [4. P. 26], for almost all  $W \in B_{m,n,r}(W^0)$  the roots of the system of equations  $f(Z) - W = 0$  are simple; denote them as  $Z^{(1)}(W), \dots, Z^{(\mu)}(W)$ . Let,  $U_v \subset D$  be the family of disjoint neighborhoods of points  $Z^{(v)}(W)$  and

$$\Gamma_v = \Gamma_{f(Z)-W,\delta} = \{Z \in D : \langle f(Z) - W, f(Z) - W \rangle = \delta^2 I^{(m)}\}$$

is a cycle in  $U_v$ .

Then, by the definition of the trace and Cauchy–Szegő formula (1), we have

$$[\text{Tr } H](W) = \sum_{v=1}^{\mu} \int_{\Gamma_{Z^{(v)}(W),\delta}} \frac{H d\sigma(f(Z))}{\det^{mm}(I^{(m)} - \langle f(Z), W \rangle)}.$$

Moreover, the sum  $\sum_{v=1}^{\mu} \Gamma_v$  is homologous to the cycle  $\Gamma_{f,r}$  in the domain of regularity of the subintegral form of (2). Hence, applying the Stokes formula, we obtain

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\mu} \int_{\Gamma_{Z^{(v)}(W), \delta}} \frac{H d\sigma(f(Z))}{\det^{mn}(I^{(m)} - \langle f(Z), W \rangle)} = \int_{\Gamma_{f,r}} \frac{H d\sigma(f(Z))}{\det^{mn}(I^{(m)} - \langle f(Z), W \rangle)}.$$

The proof is complete.

Now, we present an integral representation for the trace of a meromorphic function of a special form.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $h(Z) \in H^1(D)$ ,  $J_f$  be the Jacobian of the mapping  $f : D \rightarrow G$ , which has finite type. Then for the trace of the meromorphic function  $H = h / J_f$  in  $B_{n,m,r}(W^0)$  the following formula holds:

$$[\text{Tr } h / J_f](W) = \int_{\Gamma_{f,r}} \frac{h(Z) d\sigma(Z)}{\det^{mn}(I^{(m)} - \langle f(Z), W \rangle)}. \quad (3)$$

**Proof.**  $d\sigma$  is a normalized Lebesgue measure on  $\Gamma_{f,r}$ ; therefore [6. P. 153],

$$d\sigma(f(Z)) = J_f d\sigma(Z).$$

By formula (2) for  $W \in B_{m,n,r}(W^0)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{Tr } h / J_f](W) &= \sum_v h / J_f(Z^{(v)}(W)) = \\ &= \sum_v \int_{\Gamma_{Z^{(v)}(W), \delta}} \frac{h / J_f d\sigma(f(Z))}{\det^{mn}(I^{(m)} - \langle f(Z), W \rangle)} = \int_{\Gamma_{f,r}} \frac{h d\sigma(Z)}{\det^{mn}(I^{(m)} - \langle f(Z), W \rangle)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

If the equation  $f(Z) - W = 0$  has only one root with multiplicity of 1, we can rewrite the formula (4) as

$$\frac{h(W)}{J_f(W)} = \int_{\Gamma_{f,r}} \frac{h(Z) d\sigma(Z)}{\det^{mn}(I^{(m)} - \langle f(Z), W \rangle)}. \quad (4)$$

Corollary 1 allows us to obtain an analogue of Bishop's formula in the matrix polyhedron  $\Theta_{f,r} = \{Z \in D : r^2 I^{(m)} - \langle f(Z), f(Z) \rangle > 0, r > 0\}$  for the meromorphic function  $h / J_f$ .

**Teopema 4.** If  $h(Z) \in H^1(\Theta_{f,r})$ ,  $Z \in \Theta_{f,r}$  and  $J_f(Z) \neq 0$  at this point, then the following integral representation holds for the holomorphic function  $\frac{h}{J_f}$ :

$$\frac{h(Z)}{J_f(Z)} = \int_{\Gamma_{f,r}} \frac{h(X) \Omega(Z, X) d\sigma(X)}{\Omega(Z, Z) \det^{mn}(I - \langle f(Z), f(X) \rangle)}. \quad (5)$$

**Proof.** From the definition of trace it follows that, when  $W = f(X)$ , the integral in formula (3) is equal to the sum of the values of the function  $\frac{h}{J_f}$  at points  $Z = X$ , and of the values of this function in  $X^\nu(f(X))$ ,  $\nu = 2, \dots, \mu$  at points  $W = f(X)$ . Consider a weight function  $\Omega(Z, X) \not\equiv 0$  having the following properties: for any fixed  $Z$ , form

$$\Theta_{f,r} = \left\{ Z \in D : r^2 I^{(m)} - \langle f(Z), f(Z) \rangle > 0, r > 0 \right\} \subseteq D,$$

the function  $\Omega(Z, X)$  is equal to zero at all points  $Z = X^{(\nu)}$ , except for  $Z = X$ . This function indeed exists. Assume  $W^0$  – non-critical value of the mapping  $f$ , and  $g(Z)$  – linear function i.e.,  $g(X^\nu(W^0))$  – are various. Then we can take the function

$$\Omega(Z, X) = \prod_{\nu=2}^{\mu} \left[ g(Z) - g(X^{(\nu)}) \right] = \left( g(Z) - g(X^{(2)}) \right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left( g(Z) - g(X^{(\mu)}) \right) \quad (6)$$

where the numbering is taken as  $X^{(\nu)} = X^{(\nu)}(Z)$ , with the convention that  $X^{(1)}(Z) = Z$ . Thus, the product in (6) is a polynomial of  $g(Z)$ , with coefficients holomorphically dependent on  $X$ . As a result, we have

$$\Omega(Z, X) = \sum_{k=1}^{\mu-1} c_k(X) g^k(Z),$$

where  $c_k(X)$  are holomorphic functions in  $\bar{\Theta}_{f,r}$ . By construction, we have  $\Omega(Z, X^{(\nu)}(Z)) = 0$ , for points  $X^{(\nu)}(Z) \neq Z$ .

By corollary and the constructed weight function  $\Omega(Z, X)$ , we obtain Bishop's formula in  $\Theta_{f,r}$ .

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\nu} \frac{h(Z^{(\nu)}(X)) \Omega(Z, Z^{(\nu)}(X))}{J_f(Z^{(\nu)}(X))} = \\ & = \frac{h(Z) \Omega(Z, Z)}{J_f(Z)} + \frac{h(Z^{(2)}(X)) \Omega(Z, Z^{(2)}(X))}{J_f(Z^{(2)}(X))} + \dots = \\ & = \frac{h(Z) \Omega(Z, Z)}{J_f(Z)} = \int_{\Gamma_{f,r}} \frac{h(X) \Omega(Z, X) d\sigma(X)}{\det^{mn} \left( I^{(m)} - \langle f(Z), f(X) \rangle \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

**Corollary 2.** When  $m = n = 1$  and  $f(z) = z$ , the formula (5) yields the Cauchy formula for a circle with a radius  $r$  in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Proof.** When  $m = n = 1$  and  $f(z) = z$ , the Jacobian of the mapping  $f(z)$  is  $J_f = 1$ , and in this case by formula (4) the formula (5) yield the Cauchy formula for a circle.

The proof is complete.

When  $n = 1$ , from the generalized matrix ball  $\Theta_{f,r}$  we get the matrix polyhedron in the space  $\mathbb{C}[m \times m]$ . In this field, B.A. Shaimkulov derived the Bishop integral formula with a different kernel ([3. P. 227]).

### References

1. Aizenberg L.A., Yuzhakov A.P. (1983) *Integral Representations and Residues in Multidimensional Complex Analysis*. American Mathematical Society.
2. Arnold V.I., Gusein-Zade S.M., Varchenko A.N. (1988) *Singularities of Differentiable Maps*. Boston: Birkhauser.
3. Khudayberganov G, Kytmanov A.M., Shaimkulov B.A. (2017) *Analysis in Matrix Domains*. Krasnoyarsk: Siberian Federal University.
4. Tsikh A.K. (1992) *Multidimensional Residues and Their Applications*. American Mathematical Society.
5. Weil A. (1935) L'intégrale de Cauchy et les fonctions de plusieurs variables. *Mathematische Annalen*. 111. pp. 178–182. DOI: 10.1007/BF01472212.
6. Aizenberg L.A. (1993) *Carleman's Formulas in Complex Analysis*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
7. Shaimkulov B.A. (2002) Ob odnom integral'nom predstavlenii dlya sleda meromorfnoy funktsii [On an integral representation for the trace of a meromorphic function]. *Uzbek Mathematical Journal*. 1. pp. 84–86.
8. Shaimkulov B.A. (2002) A Special Integral Representation for a Local Residue. *Siberian Mathematical Journal*. 43. pp. 963–966. DOI: 10.1023/A:1020123311262.
9. Shaimkulov B.A. (2003) The integral Cauchy–Weyl representation for matrix functions. *Russian Mathematics (Izvestiya VUZ. Matematika)*. 47(2). pp. 67–70.
10. Shaimkulov B.A., Makhkamov E.M. (2011) On an analog of the Weyl integral formula for the polyhedra having not piecewise smooth boundaries. *Siberian Mathematical Journal*. 52(2). pp. 377–380. DOI: 10.1134/S0037446611020224.
11. Shoimkhulov B.A., Bozorov J.T. (2015) Carleman's formula for a matrix polydisk. *Journal of Siberian Federal University. Mathematics & Physics*. 8(2). pp. 371–374. DOI: 10.17516/1997-1397-2015-8-3-371-374.

### Information about the authors:

**Makhkamov Erkin M.** (Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Associate Professor of the Department of Digital Technologies, Non-Governmental Organization of Higher Education Perfect University; Associate Professor of the Department of Mathematical Analysis, National University of Uzbekistan, Uzbekistan, Tashkent). E-mail: erkin\_mahkamov83@mail.ru

**Bozorov Jurabek T.** (Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Associate Professor of the Department of Mathematical Analysis, Termez State University, Uzbekistan, Termez). E-mail: jorabek.bozorov.89@mail.ru

### Сведения об авторах:

**Махкамов Эркин Мусурманович** – доктор философии (PhD) по физико-математическим наукам, доцент кафедры цифровых технологий Негосударственной организации высшего образования Perfect University; доцент кафедры математического анализа Национального университета Узбекистана им. Мирзо Улугбека (Ташкент, Узбекистан). E-mail: erkin\_mahkamov83@mail.ru

**Бозоров Жўрабек Тоғаймуротович** – доктор философии (PhD) по физико-математическим наукам, заведующий кафедрой математического анализа Термезского государственного университета (Термез, Узбекистан). E-mail: jorabek.bozorov.89@mail.ru

*The article was submitted 08.01.2024; accepted for publication 10.04.2025*

*Статья поступила в редакцию 08.01.2024; принята к публикации 10.04.2025*